

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/837,210		04/19/2001	Masanori Ogura	35.C15304	6939		
5514	7590	07/08/2004		EXAMINER			
		CELLA HARPER	BAUMEISTER, BRADLEY W				
30 ROCKE NEW YOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
1,2,1,101	<b></b> , 111	10112		2815			
				DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Y			
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/837,2	10	OGURA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit				
			Baumeister	2815				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	ication appears on the	cover she t with th	e correspond nce address	;			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ions of time may be available under the provisions IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum st to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION.  s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication.  10) days, a reply within the state attraction period will apply and worwill. by statute. cause the apply and worwill.	ent, however, may a reply b utory minimum of thirty (30) ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS ( lication to become ABAND	e timely filed  days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this commun  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status								
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 10 June 2004.			•			
•	·	2b)⊠ This action is r	non-final.					
, —								
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	on of Claims							
5)	4) Claim(s) 10-12,17-19,21,32,34,37 and 39 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 10-12,17-19,21,32,34,37 and 39 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are		)□ objected to by t	he Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲 🗆	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin The oath or declaration is objected	g the correction is requite by the Examiner. N	red if the drawing(s) is lote the attached Of	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1. fice Action or form PTO-1	121(d). 52.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office actions.	y documents have be y documents have be s of the priority docum onal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Appl nents have been rec ile 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Stag	je			
2) Notice	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date	(PTO-948) or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Application/Control Number: 09/837,210 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of the claims is withdrawn in view of Applicant's broadening amendments to the independent claims. Rejections based on the previously cited reference(s) follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 10-12, 17-19, 21, 32, 34, 37 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takemoto et al. '048 in view of Applicant's prior art admissions for the reasons set forth previously and as follows.
  - a. As was explained in previous Office actions, Applicant acknowledges that it was known to provide red, green and blue detector arrays adjacently wherein each array is provided with a respective color filter of a single color and a focusing lens, and wherein the number of sides of the arrays is the same as one another (e.g., prior-art FIG 11). Applicant does not acknowledge that it was known to provide such sets of arrays in a common well. Takemoto teaches color photodetector arrays wherein the red, green and blue detection regions are integrated into a common well. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to have incorporated the teachings of Takemoto and Applicant's

Application/Control Number: 09/837,210

Art Unit: 2815

Admitted prior art so as to integrate the prior-art arrays into a common well for the purpose of increased integration/miniaturization.

Page 3

- b. Further, Takemoto teaches a well contact and wiring located at the periphery of the common well. As was previously explained, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention have provided plural contacts at multiple positions along the periphery of the common well. This is based upon the previously explained proposition that, and the underlying rationales for why, the duplication of parts was obvious in the present case.
- The claims previously recited that the wiring and contacts be disposed on at least C. three sides of the picture cell arrays. It was the examiner's position that it may have been obvious to (1) duplicate the wiring and contacts around the periphery because Takemoto specifically teaches a contact and wiring disposed at the periphery, and (2) that it would have generally been obvious to provide additional contacts and wirings at additional positions within the array's interior, but that there was not sufficient motivation to provide these additional interior contacts/wirings specifically along the interior boundaries of the adjacent 2D arrays. Restated, using Applicant's FIGs 2A and 6 as examples, Takemoto in combination with Applicant's prior art FIG 1 would have rendered obvious the inclusion of the exterior wiring/contact ring 11/12. But absent some additional, more specific prior art teachings to do so, it would not have been obvious to further include the additional portion of the wiring/contact line(s) 11/12 that extend across the interior, between the adjacent arrays. As such, it would not have been

Application/Control Number: 09/837,210

Art Unit: 2815

reasonably obvious to provide the wiring/contacts adjacent to at least three sides of each of the arrays.

Page 4

d. However, as applicant has presently broadened the claims to now only require that the "number of sides of each color picture cell at which sides the well contacts were set are the same as one another" (see independent claims 11 and 21), the claims now read on a group of four cell arrays located in a common well and surrounded by an exterior ring bus contact/wiring layer—without the further inclusion of any interior contact/wiring layers. Or restated, the claims read on a set of four picture sell arrays wherein only two sides of each cell have well contacts/wirings. As such the claims—including the dependent claims for the additional respective reasons previously set forth—are now obvious.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. William Baumeister whose telephone number is (571) 272-1722. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217/91971 (toll-free)

> BHAULET DAUWEIDTEH PRIMARY EXAMINER

B. William Baumeister Primary Examiner Art Unit 2815